2000 Vol. 2, No. 15 2189-2192

Control of Olefin Geometry in the Bryostatin B-Ring through Exploitation of a C_2 -Symmetry Breaking Tactic and a Smith—Tietze Coupling Reaction

Karl J. Hale,* Marc G. Hummersone, and Gurpreet S. Bhatia

The Christopher Ingold Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, United Kingdom k.j.hale@ucl.ac.uk

Received March 23, 2000

ABSTRACT

A completely stereocontrolled asymmetric synthesis of an advanced B-ring synthon for the bryostatin family of antitumor agents is reported. Noteworthy features of our synthesis include the Smith–Tietze bis-alkylation reaction between 12 and 13 en route to C_2 -symmetrical ketone 10 and the totally stereoselective conversion of 10 into triol 18 via a Grignard addition tactic. Triol 18 was converted to epoxide 3 in nine steps, and an acid-catalyzed intramolecular Williamson etherification reaction completed the synthesis of 2.

The bryostatins are an architecturally intriguing family of antitumor macrolides¹ that have shown considerable clinical promise for the treatment of various human cancers.² Unfortunately, supply issues continue to overshadow the development of these agents as antitumor drugs, and this has led to substantial synthetic interest^{3,4} in this class, not only from the perspective of increasing current clinical supply

but also from the standpoint of identifying substantially simplified analogues with superior anticancer properties. Some time ago, we reported⁵ an efficient asymmetric synthesis of a fully elaborated C-ring intermediate for bryostatin 1. We now describe our synthetic studies on the bryostatin B-ring, which have recently culminated in the development of a fully stereocontrolled asymmetric synthesis of pyran 2.

Our retrosynthetic thinking for bryostatin 1 (1) (Scheme 1) called for the intermediacy of alcohol 2 as a subtarget and focused on the possible usage of an intramolecular Williamson etherification⁶ strategy to assemble the pyran ring system. We envisaged creating pyran 2 from the internal epoxide ring-opening of epoxy-alcohol 3, and further analysis of 3 duly suggested that it might be obtainable from the α,β -unsaturated lactone 4 by lactone reduction, selective *O*-silylation, *O*-isopropylidene cleavage, selective *O*-mesylation, and base treatment. Compound 4 appeared accessible from

⁽¹⁾ Bryostatin 1 isolation and structure determination: Pettit, G. R.; Herald, C. L.; Clardy, J.; Arnold, E.; Doubek, D. L.; Herald, D. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 6848.

⁽²⁾ Philip, P. A.; Rea, D.; Thavasu, P.; Carmichael, J.; Sturat, N. S. A.; Rockett, H.; Talbot, D. C.; Ganesan, T.; Pettit, G. R.; Balkwill, F.; Harris, A. L. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* **1993**, *85*, 1812. Jayson, C. G.; Crowther, D.; Prendiville, J.; McGown, A. T.; Scheid, C.; Stern, P.; Young, R.; Brenchley, P.; Owens, S.; Pettit, G. R. *Brit. J. Cancer* **1995**, *72*, 461.

^{(3) (}a) For the total synthesis of bryostatin 7, see: Masamune, S. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1988**, *60*, 1587. Kageyama, M.; Tamura, T.; Nantz, M. H.; Roberts, J. C.; Somfai, P.; Whritenour, D. C.; Masamune, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 7407. (b) For the total synthesis of bryostatin 2, see: Evans, D. A.; Carter, P. H.; Carreira, E. M.; Charette, A. B.; Prunet, J. A.; Lautens, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 7540.

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of the Bryostatin 1 B-Ring

enoate **6** by mild acid hydrolysis and chemoselective protection. Retrosynthetic analysis of **6** suggested that it might be derived from a Wittig-Horner-Emmons⁷ or Peterson⁸ olefination reaction on ketone **10** with reagents **7**, **8**, or **9** respectively. The primary dividend that would arise from following this disconnective pathway would come from its implementation of the olefination process on a C_2 -symmetrical ketone, which would guarantee that only one possible olefin isomer (**6**) could emerge. Ketone **10** was attractive as a synthetic intermediate, for it could potentially be assembled in two steps via a Smith-Tietze coupling reaction⁹ between **12** and **13**.

With this in mind, we opened our synthetic campaign on

2 with the preparation of ketone **10**. This was synthesized through the aforementioned Smith—Tietze bis-alkylation reaction⁹ between homochiral epoxide **13**¹⁰ and the lithio anion of 2-TBS-1,3-dithiane (**12**) (Scheme 2). As found by

Scheme 2. Use of the Smith—Tietze Bisalkylation Reaction and a C_2 -Symmetry Breaking Tactic To Prepare Triol $\mathbf{18}^a$

^a Reagents and conditions: (a)12, *t*-BuLi (1 equiv), THF (0.3 M), HMPA (4 equiv), 0.5 h, −78 °C, add 13 (2 equiv), warm to 0 °C, stir 1.5 h, then add TBSCl (1.5 equiv) at −78 °C and warm to 20 °C; (b) Hg(ClO₄)_{2-x}H₂O (2 equiv), CaCO₃ (4 equiv), THF−H₂O (4:1) (0.06 M), 0 °C, 0.3 h; (c) AllMgBr (1 M in THF, 1.2 equiv), THF (0.3 M), 0 °C, 0.3 h; (d) OsO₄ (0.04 M in H₂O, 0.015 equiv), NaIO₄ (6 equiv), THF (0.015 M); (e) (CF₃CO)₂O (10 equiv), Et₃N (30 equiv), DMAP (0.1 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.14 M), 45 °C, 16 h; (f) *i*-Bu₂AlH (1.2 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.3 M), −78 °C, 5 h; (g) *n*-Bu₄NF (1 M in THF) (2.4 equiv), THF (0.3 M), 20 °C, 8 h.

17

Smith and Boldi^{9a} in related systems, when HMPA was present in the reaction mixture, the *C*-TBS group of the initial monoalkylation product readily underwent a smooth *C*- to *O*-migration to generate a new 1,3-dithianyl anion. In the case at hand, this rearrangement led to the remaining equivalent of **13** being consumed fairly rapidly. After in situ trapping of the resulting alkoxide with TBSCl, the bis-*O*-silylated dithiane **11** was isolated in 87% yield. The keto group of **10** was liberated by reacting **11** with mercuric perchlorate¹⁰ in THF and water at 0 °C.

Unfortunately, all our attempts at implementing the aforementioned Wittig or Peterson olefination chemistry on

2190 Org. Lett., Vol. 2, No. 15, 2000

⁽⁴⁾ Synthetic studies on the bryostatins: (a) Munt, S. P.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1989, 480. (b) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W.; Charron, M.; Molino, R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1308. (c) Roy, R.; Rey, A. W. Synlett 1990, 448. (d) Evans, D. A.; Carreira, E. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4703. (e) Evans, D. A.; Gauchet-Prunet, J. A.; Carreira, E. M.; Charette, A. B. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 741. (f) De Brabander, J.;

10 were unsuccessful (employing 7, 8, and 9 respectively). A Reformatsky reaction was therefore investigated between 10 and MeO_2CCH_2ZnBr in THF, but again this failed. It had been our intention to dehydrate the aldol addition product and obtain 6 as a single olefin isomer.¹¹

In light of these difficulties, we modified our strategy to pyran 2, and now made triol 18 (Scheme 2) our new subtarget. It was prepared efficiently from ketone 10 in five steps. Initially 10 was reacted with allylmagnesium bromide in THF at 0 °C to obtain alcohol 14 in 70-80% yield. The olefinic bond of 14 was then oxidatively degraded with osmium tetraoxide and sodium periodate⁵ to furnish β -hydroxy aldehyde 15 in 76% yield. Dehydration was next effected with trifluoroacetic anhydride and triethylamine, in the presence of a catalytic quantity of 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine; this procedure afforded the pure enal 16 in good yield (70-80%), along with a trace quantity of an as yet unidentified product. Reduction of the aldehyde in 16 with DIBAL next provided the desired allylic alcohol 17, with excellent efficiency. Finally, the silyl groups were detached from 17 with TBAF to generate triol 18 as an oil in 81% yield from 16.

To chemically differentiate the two partially masked terminal 1,2-diol units in **18**, a chemoselective oxidation of

Vanhessche, K.; Vandewalle, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2821. (g) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M. Synlett 1994, 231. (h) De Brabander, J.; Vandewalle, M. Synthesis 1994, 855. (i) De Brabander, J.; Kulkarni, A.; Garcia-Lopez, R.; Vandewalle, M. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 1721. (j) Ohmuri, K.; Suzuki, T.; Miyazawa, K.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *34*, 4981. (k) Ohmuri, K.; Suzuki, T.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6515. (1) Ohmuri, K.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 6519. (m) Hoffmann, R. W.; Stiasny, H. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1995, 36, 4595. (n) Kalesse, M.; Eh, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 1767. (o) Lampe, T. F. J.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1996, 1931. (p) Lampe, T. F. J.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1996, 2637. (q) Lampe, T. F. J.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 7695. (r) Weiss, J. M.; Hoffmann, H. M. R. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 3913. (s) Kiyooka, S.; Maeda, H., Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 3371. (t) Wender, P. A.; De Brabander, J.; Harran, P. G.; Jimenez, J.-M.; Koehler, M. F. T.; Lippa, B.; Park, C.-M.; Shiozaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4534. (u) Wender, P. A.; de Brabander, J.; Harran, P. G.; Hinkle, K. W.; Lippa, B.; Pettit, G. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 8625. (v) Obitsu, T.; Ohmuri, K.; Ogawa, Y.; Hosomi, H.; Ohba, S.; Nishiyama, S.; Yamamura, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, *39*, 7349. (w) Gracia, J.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2865. (x) Baxter, J.; Mata, E. G.; Thomas, E. J. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 14359. (y) Maguire, R. J.; Munt, S. P.; Thomas, E. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 2853. (z) Wender, P. A.; Lippa, B., Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1007.

(5) Hale, K. J.; Lennon, J. A.; Manaviazar, S.; Javaid, M. H.; Hobbs, C. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1359.

(6) (a) Paterson, I.; Boddy, I.; Mason, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1987**, 28, 5205. (b) Wei, A.; Kishi, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, 59, 88.

(7) (a) Wadsworth, W. S., Jr. *Org. React.* **1977**, *25*, 73. (b) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 863.

(8) Lansbury, P. T.; Serelis, A. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 1909.

(9) (a) Smith, A. B., III; Boldi, A. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 6925. (b) Smith, A. B., III; Zhuang, L.; Brook, C. S.; Lin, Q.; Moser, W. H.; Trout, R. E. L.; Boldi, A. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 8671. (c) Tietze, L. F.; Geissler, H.; Gewert, J. A.; Jakobi, U. Synlett 1994, 511. (d) Corey, E. J.; Seebach, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1965, 4, 1075. (e) For the very first report of a C- to O-silyl rearrangement occurring after epoxide opening with 2-TMS-1,3-dithiane, see: Jones, P. F.; Lappert, M. F.; Szary, A. C., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1973, 2272.

(10) Smith, A. B., III; Zhuang, L.; Brook, C. S.; Boldi, A. M.; McBriar, M. D.; Moser, W. H.; Murase, N.; Nakayama, K.; Verhoest, P. R.; Lin, Q. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 8667.

(11) For the use of a Reformatsky reaction/dehydration sequence to create an exocyclic enoate, see: Johnson, W. S.; Christianson, R. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, *73*, 5511.

(12) Review on MnO₂ oxidation: Evans, R. M. Quart. Rev. Chem.. Soc. London 1959, 13, 61.

the allylic hydroxyl was performed with activated manganese dioxide in chloroform (Scheme 3).¹² Initially, this reaction

Scheme 3. Conversion of Triol 18 into Pyran 2^a (80-90%)ΗÓ ÒΗ РМВО **ОРМВ** 19 18 (88%) (87%)PMBÓ 20 21 **OTBDPS** (95%) (81%) РМВО **PMB**O 22 23 **OTBDPS OTBDPS** h (81%) (80%)РМВО ÒН ÒΜs **PMB**O 24 25 **TBDPSO** TBDPSO (87%) **PMB**O **PMB**O ÓΗ

^a Reagents and conditions: (a) MnO₂ (30 equiv), CHCl₃ (0.15 M), 20 °C, 24 h; (b) CF₃CO₂H (40 equiv), anisole (30 equiv), CH₂Cl₂, −15 °C, 8 h; (c) cyclohexanone (20 equiv), EtOAc (0.3 M), p-TsOH (0.1 equiv), 6 h; (d) p-methoxybenzyl trichloroacetimidate (2 equiv), PPTS (0.5 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.26 M), 20 °C, 8 h; (e) NaBH₄ (2.4 equiv), CeCl₃·7H₂O (2.4 equiv), MeOH (0.4 M), 0 °C, 1.5 h; (f) t-BuPh₂SiCl (1 equiv), imidazole (1.5 equiv), DMF (0.17 M), 0 °C, 1 h; (g) 1,3-propanedithiol (10 equiv), BF₃.Et₂O (0.1 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.16 M), −78 to −10 °C, 1 h; (h) MsCl (1.1 equiv), collidine (10 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.05 M), 0 °C, 4.5 h; (i) NaH (2 equiv), imidazole (2 equiv), THF (0.08 M), 0 °C, 0.3 h; (j) CSA (0.1 equiv), CH₂Cl₂ (0.06 M), 20 °C, 40 min.

2

3

afforded the desired enal with complete selectivity. Hemiacetal formation then ensued, allowing a second chemoselective oxidation to occur to fashion the desired α,β -unsaturated lactone. The two terminal PMB groups were detached from this product with TFA/anisole, ¹³ to access triol **19**. Regioselective *O*-isopropylidenation of the 1,2-diol unit in **19** was next attempted with catalytic iodine in acetone. The remaining hydroxyl was protected as a PMB ether using p-methoxybenzyltrichloroacetimidate ¹⁴ and PPTS; compound

Org. Lett., Vol. 2, No. 15, **2000**

⁽¹³⁾ De Medeiros, E. F.; Herbert, J. M.; Taylor, R. J. K. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1 **1991**, 2725.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Nakajima, N.; Horita, K.; Abe, R.; Yonemitsu, O. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 4139.

4 was obtained as an oil. Although the aforementioned *O*-isopropylidenation reaction worked reasonably well on small scale, considerable problems were encountered on scale-up. We therefore investigated the use of a cyclohexylidene acetal for the protection of this terminal 1,2-diol grouping in **19**. Fortunately, this ketalization process worked successfully on large scale, and as before, it proved a fairly simple matter to protect the remaining hydroxyl as a PMB-ether. Typically, this two-step protocol furnished compound **21** in 79% overall yield.

Our next objective was to selectively reduce the lactone in **21** to obtain diol **22**; this was accomplished with sodium borohydride and cerium trichloride in methanol. The less sterically hindered allylic hydroxyl in **22** was then selectively *O*-silylated with TBDPSCl to gain access to **23**, and its cyclohexylidene group selectively cleaved with 1,3-propanedithiol and catalytic BF₃-etherate at low temperature; this yielded triol **24**. A number of methods were evaluated for the selective *O*-sulfonylation of triol **24**. The mesyl chloride—collidine system of Burke and O'Donnell gave the best results. Adherence to their recommended procedure typically led to the isolation of *O*-mesylate **25** in 81% yield.

We had hoped to convert compound 25 directly into pyran

2 by treatment with 2 equiv of sodium hydride and imidazole in THF. However, the only product formed under these reaction conditions was epoxy alcohol **3**, isolated in 80% yield. To bring about the desired 6-exo-tet ring-closure, ^{6b} epoxide **3** was treated with a catalytic quantity of camphorsulfonic acid in dichloromethane ^{6a} at room temperature for 40 min. This afforded pyran **2** as the sole reaction product in 87% yield.

In conclusion, we have developed a conceptually new synthetic strategy for the control of B-ring olefin geometry in the bryostatins. We anticipate that similar tactics will prove useful for the construction of advanced C-ring intermediates for molecules of the 20-deoxy-bryostatin class (e.g., bryostatin 11).

Acknowledgment. We thank the EPSRC (Project Grants GR/L18532 and GR/L09899), the BBSRC (Project Grant 31/B09691), the Royal Society (RSRG 15551), Zeneca, and Pfizer for generous financial support. The ULIRS Mass Spectrometry Facility at the London School of Pharmacy is also thanked for HRMS determinations on all the new compounds reported in this paper.

Supporting Information Available: 500 MHz ¹H and 125 MHz ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL005850Y

2192 Org. Lett., Vol. 2, No. 15, 2000

⁽¹⁵⁾ Luche, J.-L.; Rodriguez-Hahn, L.; Crabbe, P. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1978, 601.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Smith, A. B., III; Chen, K.; Robinson, D. J.; Laakso, L. M.; Hale, K. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 4271.

⁽¹⁷⁾ O'Donnell, C. J.; Burke, S. D. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 8614.